



**Appeals Tribunal Hearing on 19 October 2016  
at  
Arbon House, 6 Tournament Court, Edgehill Drive, Warwick CV34 6LG**

**Appeal Tribunal Decision  
In the matter of Case No X0054861 NFoPP v Mr. A Badejo**

**To consider the appeal following:  
Disciplinary Tribunal Hearing  
28 April 2016**

**Case of  
Mr. Adedayo Badejo, Director of AOB (UK) Limited, t/a Top Move Estate Agents, 39  
Whitehorse Road, Croydon, CR0 2JG**

A former member of NAEA and ARLA  
At

NFoPP, Arbon House, Tournament Court, Edgehill Drive, Warwick, CV34 6LG

Mr. Badejo was found to have acted in breach of the following NFoPP Membership and Conduct Rules together with ARLA Byelaws at the hearing held on 28 April 2016 and the penalties imposed were:

Conduct Rule 1.26.1	-	£500
Conduct Rule 1.28	-	£250
Byelaw 1.38	-	£2,000
Byelaw 1.40	-	£250

Costs of £199 were awarded in favour of the NFoPP against the member.

The Appeals Tribunal considered the case.

**Appeal Tribunal's Decision**

After considering the evidence and after deliberation, the Appeal Tribunal made the following findings:-

**Conduct Rule 1.26.1** finding upheld but the sanction was reduced to a Formal Warning

**Conduct Rule 1.28** finding upheld but the sanction was reduced to a Formal Warning

**Byelaw 1.40** finding upheld but the sanction was reduced to a Formal Warning

**Byelaw 1.38** finding was upheld but the sanction was reduced to a penalty of £500

The Tribunal issued the following statement:

“The Tribunal thanks Mr. Badejo for his attendance at today’s appeal hearing. This has not been an easy case with much appearing to fall at the feet of accountants who failed to provide reports or acceptable reports, thus placing Mr. Badejo in breach of three rules. Whilst we cannot find these breaches unproven, we accept his submission and evidence provided today that in part was not available to the original hearing and as such the fines are reduced, as reported, to Formal Warnings in those three breaches.

In relation to Byelaw 1.38, information was provided that was not available to the original hearing. This was a letter from The Property Ombudsman confirming that the complainants to The Property Ombudsman had been paid as directed, in late 2015, and that the case was closed. The penalty has therefore been reduced accordingly.

In relation to the costs, the costs of the original hearing are still in place and there will be costs for today’s hearing of £142.”